

Genetically Manipulated Food (GM)

Allergies are on the increase since the consumption of GM food

In 1995, Professor TJ Higgins at the CSIRO decided that they would develop GM peas. However, then in 2006 he decided that those Genetically Manipulated peas were too dangerous to be fed to people.

The GM peas were fed to mice. The mice developed allergies and a type of asthma. The GM lobby (Monsanto) had always said that processing GM food made it safe (but they already said that GM was safe). The peas were boiled for twenty minutes but the allergies persisted. Then something amazing was discovered, all the mice that had eaten GM peas developed allergies to foods that were not GM.

Since GM food has entered Australia from the US (**unlabelled**), the incidences of allergies have skyrocketed as has happened in all parts of the world where people are fed GM food. Even Non-GM peanuts are now causing allergies in children; remember what happened to the mice after they had eaten those GM peas. All 'educated' scientists know that there are serious problems with GM food and crops.

The film '**The World According to Monsanto**' will prove that those who do Monsanto's bidding, be they scientists or politicians, are mercenaries or sadly, just dangerously ignorant. Have you seen the film?

Yes, we are fed **GM corn syrup** and **GM soy emulsifier** from the US in our processed food and it is sold in every supermarket across Australia **unlabelled**. **GM canola oil** is now sneaking onto our shelves. We're fed **GM cottonseed oil**; it is grown along the Darling River and is used in most Fish & Chip shops.

Every scientist and doctor i've spoken to has told me that it is 'normal ethical science' when after finding anything dangerous or questionable in the results of research, a scientist will follow through with that research to get to the bottom of 'what was serious'. **So, what went wrong at the CSIRO?**

Why would Professor TJ Higgins from the CSIRO now say that Australia should continue down the GM road? This means contaminating our crops with GM pollen and continue feeding GM food to our children. Dear Professor, your silence on GM speaks so loudly, i can't hear a word you're saying.

- GM food was first eaten in Australia in 1995; since then anaphylaxis (severe allergic reactions to food) in children of the 0-4 age group has increased 5-fold.
- In 1999 in the UK with allergies on the increase, it was realised by some women's groups that the only change in the food supply was Genetically Manipulated (GM) Food from the US so supermarkets removed most GM food from sale; the rapid increase in allergies then stabilised.
- In Australia severe reactions to food in 0-4 year old children is at least 6 times higher than in Norway; there is almost no GM food in Norway.
- Those working at The Food Standards of Australia and New Zealand (FSANZ) have the job of making sure that our food is safe. FSANZ allows GM Food into Australia but FSANZ refuses to do any monitoring of food safety concerning GM even though those at FSANZ know full well that GM food is causing severe health problems wherever it is eaten around the world.
- It appears that someone at FSANZ has just allowed the planting of Monsanto and Dow's GM corn in Australia - and it has been kept secret; why? Who do they work for at FSANZ?
- Because GM food is so dangerous for our kids, who would oppose labelling the stuff?
- If people like Monsanto can afford to befoul our food with GM they **CAN** afford to label it; **CLEARLY**.

GM Crops mean lower yields & more chemicals

In 2009 farmers in the US planted one million acres of Non-GM soy to try to get out of the GM bind. After more than ten years with GM crops they find that they are now getting lower yields and using more chemicals than ever before – just the opposite of what they were promised by Monsanto.

Ignorant politicians say that Australian farmers need access to this technology so that farmers can have a choice. Those same people then say that farmers can stop the pollen from contaminating their next-door crop, but in the next breath they say all farmers are responsible for keeping the pollen out of their crops. Total contamination of our Non-GM crops with patented DNA is what GM is all about; just look at the US.

As Monsanto's GM pollen that carries Monsanto's patented DNA, contaminates US Farmers' crops, they are taken to court for stealing. **Monsanto's aim is to control the world's food with patented DNA** and their chemical dependent crops. Just watch those who would sell our children's future out to that! Why are farmers still peddled the nonsense and false promises concerning GM by the Farmers Federations? The serious concerns over GM are **not opinions**; they are obvious axioms, something like $2 + 2 = 4$.

Could selling our country out to GM be construed as Treasonous?

Consider this! The food of a nation is very much the security of that nation. To hand the control of a nation's food to a foreign power, in this case 'Monsanto' by way of their contaminating patented DNA, must be in the simplest of terms, considered treasonous.

Genetically engineering food crops is to control crops by making them chemically dependent. Then, to buy the food produced by those **patented** crops, you must pay royalties to a chemical company like Monsanto that owns the patented DNA. If a foreign nation tried to do such a thing to our country we would be on a war footing.

Chemical companies do not design plants that don't need their chemicals. Would an oil company design vehicles that did not need their oil or petrol? So let's get real. Patenting DNA means ownership, which means; if you don't pay royalties you don't eat. Those who allow GM crops into Australia are sowing the seeds for the control of our food by a foreign power. Why does the media ask genetic engineers about the concerns over GM instead of asking ecologists or naturalists? Would you ask a plumber about river systems?

Genetically engineering crops is about 'narrowing gene pools'; that's just basic biology known to all naturalists; it is dangerous. Biotech engineers are 'engineers'; they are seldom naturalists so mostly (like politicians) they would not know a gene pool from a swimming pool. Engineers are number-smart but rarely nature-smart; so they just do their job, ethics seldom come into it. An ecologist would never be conned by the GM lie.

Why would a handful of our scientists who are neither ecologists or naturalists, like Prof, Jim Peacock, Prof, Rick Roush of Melbourne University, and Prof, TJ Higgins at CSIRO, promote the systematic narrowing and contamination of our precious gene pools by pollen that carries genetically-manipulated patented DNA? And, why do such scientists ignore the obvious surge in allergies since the introduction of GM food into our diet? Perhaps we should ask them why they fear the ethical rules of science when it comes to GM food.

One day with genetic engineering we may even be able to miraculously grow a new tooth; however, science says: genetically engineering food is dangerous - so why force allergies onto our children? What politician would not want to label allergy causing GM food but then want to label calorie counts?

We have not been genetically engineering food for 1000s of years, that is basic biology understood by every naturalist. Ultimately for the world's food supply, the narrowing of our gene pools could be more devastating than the aftermath of a nuclear war; and irreversible. – Broadly based gene pools mean survival but narrowly based gene pools mean disaster and every ecologist and naturalist knows it!

Six basic facts about GM that any student can verify

1. After ten years of GM crops in North America, GM farmers get no higher yields.
2. US and Canadian GM crops now need more chemicals than Non-GM crops.
3. GM seeds in North America cost 3-5 times more than normal Non-GM seeds.
4. Basic biology shows that GM crops are dangerously narrowing our gene pools.
5. Everywhere GM food has been eaten allergies have gone through the roof.
6. Without ecology there is no economy and GM crops destroy our only given ecology.

Is it child abuse to force our children to eat GM food that can cause them asthma?

North America is **sick from** and **sick of** GM so why listen to Monsanto's lies?

Why are our chief editors frightened to face and expose the GM con?

Is there **one** editor with the guts to blow the whistle on GM?

Why is the **GM fiasco** still under the carpet?

What price a child's asthma?

A bottle of Ventolin?

Where is the President of the Australian Medical Association?

Dear President please read 'Genetic Roulette' by Jeffrey Smith. (There are 3 copies in your library)

Start with the first 3 paragraphs of page 57 of Genetic Roulette then read on and you will be stunned by the silence on GM.